## OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY 17 June 2014

*PART 1 – PUBLIC DOCUMENT	AGENDA ITEM No.
	10

#### TITLE OF REPORT: REGULATION OF INVESTIGATORY POWERS ACT UPDATE

# REPORT OF THE CORPORATE LEGAL MANAGER

- 1. SUMMARY
- 1.1 This Report updates on the Council's current use of RIPA.
- 2. RECOMMENDATIONS
- 2.1 That the content of the report be noted.
- 3. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS
- 3.1 To comply with best practice guidance and the Committee's terms of reference.
- 4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED
- 4.1 None.
- 5. CONSULTATION WITH EXTERNAL ORGANISATIONS AND WARD MEMBERS
- 5.1 None.
- 6. FORWARD PLAN
- 6.1 This report does not contain a recommendation on a key decision and has not been referred to in the Forward Plan.
- 7. BACKGROUND
- 7.1 Members are referred to previous Reports of the Corporate Legal Manager. Members will recall that the Codes of Practice state that elected Members should review the local authority's use of RIPA.
- 8. ISSUES

#### Council's Use of RIPA

8.1 Members may recall that it was considered that the quarterly report to Overview and Scrutiny Committee should continue even though RIPA is not currently being used, as this provides a useful mechanism to ensure that the issue of RIPA remains in the consciousness of Members (and Officers).

8.2 There have been no further RIPA authorisations since the last Report to the Committee. There are currently no ongoing RIPA authorisations.

### **Training**

8.3 As referred to at the last meeting of the Committee, training regarding the RIPA Policy and Procedure has been organised, and the first session took place on 29th April 2014. In line with the previous discussions and agreement at the Committee, Members were invited to attend to support their role scrutinising the Councils use of RIPA. This was the first session arranged that Members attended, and useful feedback from that attendance included that the session went into more detail than Members needed, and that a briefer overview training session might be more helpful moving forward. The next RIPA training is scheduled for 27<sup>th</sup> June, and following on from the feedback a lunchtime session is being organised for that higher level overview for Members.

### 9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1 The Council's Overview and Scrutiny Committee's Terms of Reference and Council's Constitution state that it shall be entitled to consider reports relating to the authority's use of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act (2000) (RIPA).

# 10. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

10.1 There are no financial implications arising from this Report.

### 11. RISK IMPLICATIONS

11.1 It is important that the Council continues to operate in accordance with RIPA to ensure that it is able to effectively manage its reputational risk whilst also exercising its legitimate evidence gathering powers in connection with enforcement activity.

#### 12. EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

- 12.1 The Equality Act 2010 came into force on the 1<sup>st</sup> October 2010, a major piece of legislation. The Act also created a new Public Sector Equality Duty, which came into force on the 5<sup>th</sup> April 2011. There is a General duty, described in 8.2, that public bodies must meet, underpinned by more specific duties which are designed to help meet them.
- 12.2 In line with the Public Sector Equality Duty, public bodies must, in the exercise of their functions, give due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation, to advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between those who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- 12.3 The contents of this report do not directly impact on equality, in that it is not making proposals that will have a direct impact on equality of access or outcomes for diverse groups. The commencement of improvements arising from the 'Protections of Freedoms Act' strengthens existing Human Rights Legislation, protecting individuals from inappropriate levels of covert surveillance, such as that used by some authorities, featured in the national media, regarding the enforcement of school catchment areas.

### 13. SOCIAL VALUE IMPLICATIONS

13.1 As the recommendations made in this report do not constitute a public service contract, the measurement of 'social value' as required by the Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012 need not be applied, although equalities implications and opportunities are identified in the relevant section at paragraphs 12.

### 14. HUMAN RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

14.1 The officer involvement required to comply with these statutory obligations are factored into service plans and work plans. The training programme will be met from existing budgets.

### 15. APPENDICES

15.1 None

### 16. CONTACT OFFICERS

16.1 Katie White, Corporate Legal Manager and Monitoring Officer.

Telephone 01462 474315. E-mail address <a href="mailto:katie.white@north-herts.gov.uk">katie.white@north-herts.gov.uk</a>

### 17. BACKGROUND PAPERS

17.1 None